Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





# International Journal of Multiphase Flow

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijmulflow

# The influence of relative fluid depth on initial bedform dynamics in closed, horizontal pipe flow



Hugh P. Rice<sup>a,\*</sup>, Michael Fairweather<sup>a</sup>, Timothy N. Hunter<sup>a</sup>, Jeffrey Peakall<sup>b</sup>, Simon R. Biggs<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK <sup>b</sup> School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 September 2016 Revised 10 March 2017 Accepted 15 March 2017 Available online 24 March 2017

# ABSTRACT

Measurements of time-dependent bedforms produced by the deposition of solid plastic particles in twophase liquid-solid flows were performed using a novel ultrasonic echo method and *via* video image analysis in a 100-liter, closed-pipe slurry flow loop. Results are presented for the settled bed thicknesses over a range of nominal flow rates and initial bed depths and are combined into several phase diagrams based on various combinations of parameters, with the bedforms categorized into five types. The novel observation is made that the type of bedform that arises depends on both the flow rate and the initial relative bed or fluid depth, with both ripples and dunes being observed in the same system and in a single experiment. In addition, the critical Shields number at incipient particle motion is measured to be  $\theta_{sc} = 0.094 \pm 0.043$ , hysteretic behavior is observed, and the evolution and scaling of each time-dependent type of bedform is analyzed in detail and compared against several expressions for initial and equilibrium dimensions from the literature. A number of universal scalings for bedforms in any type of conduit are proposed with a view ultimately to unifying the observations of bedforms in pipes with those in channels and natural flows.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

# 1. Introduction

The hydraulic implications of moving and stationary particle beds in low-velocity multiphase engineering flows have received relatively little research attention, despite considerable interest in understanding critical deposition and stratification in higher velocity flows (e.g. Rice et al., 2015a, b). The importance of lower-velocity bedform dynamics is, however, also of critical concern, whether it be in terms of the enhancement or inhibition of solids transport, flow field properties, modification of effective surface roughness, conduit wear/corrosion, or the likelihood of solid plug formation and subsequent blockages. In the case of nuclear engineering, for example, it is not known whether such flows are likely to affect the radiative environment in terms of heat formation and radiochemical interactions with the conduit materials. In the case of civil engineering, it is equally unclear whether bedforms may hinder the ability of waste and run-off water systems to function efficiently

\* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2017.03.007 0301-9322/© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd. (Banasiak and Tait, 2008; Banasiak and Verhoeven, 2008; Lange and Wichern, 2013; Matoušek, 2005; Skipworth et al., 1999).

Despite the lack of research on mobile beds in engineering transport systems (either open or closed conduits), bedforms are ubiquitous in nature in both sub-aqueous (water-driven) and aeolian (air-driven) environments, and their laboratory analogs have been studied extensively by earth scientists in open and closed rectangular channels. As a result there is a large, mature canon of literature describing their behavior in natural and laboratory environments (see, for example, the reviews of Baas et al., 2016; Best, 2005; Charru et al., 2013; Coleman and Nikora, 2011; García, 2008). Bedforms can very broadly be grouped into three regimes: lower/sub-critical (lower plane beds, ripples, ripples on dunes, dunes), transitional (washed-out dunes) and upper or supercritical (upper plane beds, antidunes, chutes and pools) (Graf, 1984; Simons and Richardson, 1961); here the focus is on ripples and dunes.

In the case of open channel and environmental systems, ripples and dunes form at low flow rates, provided generally that particle diameter, d < 0.7 mm for ripples and d > 0.1 mm for dunes (Leeder, 2011, p. 132–137), all configurations of which prograde (*i.e.* progress downstream). Ripples form when the particle size and shear Reynolds number are both small (Raudkivi, 1997). Im-

E-mail address: h.p.rice@leeds.ac.uk (H.P. Rice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Current address: Faculty of Engineering, Architecture and Information Technology, University of Queensland, St Lucia QLD 4072, Australia

portantly, their equilibrium sizes scale with grain size, but are *independent* of flow depth, whereas those of dunes scale with flow depth (Charru et al., 2013; Van Rijn, 1989). More specifically, van Rijn (1984c) also states that while both ripple heights and lengths are much smaller than the flow depth, dune lengths can be much greater than that depth.

There has been much debate over how the formation of ripples, dunes or other bedforms eventuate from a set of flow, particle and environmental conditions (e.g. Charru et al., 2013; Colombini and Stocchino, 2011; Fourrière et al., 2010). Most workers agree that ripples initially arise from a linear instability, however there is debate on whether dunes arise directly from a linear instability, or form through non-linear processes from progressive growth of ripples, referred to as pattern coarsening (e.g. Charru et al., 2013; Colombini and Stocchino, 2011; Fourrière et al., 2010; Kennedy, 1963; Richards, 1980). Here these arguments are briefly reviewed, starting with the stability analysis of bedforms that considers how an initial (sinusoidal) perturbation applied to a planar bed of particles will be amplified or damped. In general, when a bed surface is perturbed, there are two possibilities according to whether the lag distance,  $\delta$ , caused by the competition between the local sediment transport rate and the velocity at the bed is positive or negative (Engelund and Fredsøe, 1982; García, 2008). If the bed is stable  $(\delta > 0)$  then the perturbation is attenuated by changes in the flow and sediment transport rate, while if the bed is unstable ( $\delta < 0$ ) the perturbation grows, resulting in wave-like bedforms (dunes, ripples, etc.).

In a linear stability analysis of an erodible bed in the presence of a free water surface, Kennedy (1963) incorporated the lag distance,  $\delta$ , and categorized the resulting bedforms as dunes, plane beds or anti-dunes depending on the value of  $k\delta$  and the flow depth, where k is the bedform wavenumber, but the model did not predict ripples. Richards later (1980) extended a model by Engelund (1970) and considered the effects of turbulence more thoroughly, finding two modes of growth corresponding to dunes and ripples. However, Charru and Mouilleron-Arnould (2002) note that these and other models tend to underpredict the wavelength of instabilities when compared to most experimental data. Colombini and Stocchino (2011) have further extended this approach and conclude that both ripples and dunes do indeed arise as primary instabilities, rather than dunes forming as a result of "coarsening" of ripples. In contrast, Fourrière et al. (2010) and Charru et al. (2013) have argued that dunes do not arise directly from an instability, but rather by progressive growth (coarsening) of ripples over time. Ripples have been observed to grow non-linearly after initial formation, through merger of bedforms since smaller bedforms travel faster than larger ones (Coleman and Melville, 1994; Fourrière et al., 2010).

The engineering implications of bedforms in closed conduits are not clear. It is not known, for example, whether time-varying bedforms in pipes increase or decrease the likelihood of blockages. However, it is reasonable to assume that the morphology of bedforms will influence the mean (and in turbulent flows, fluctuating) velocity fields and particle flux strongly. It is surprising, then, how few studies of bedforms in equivalent phase spaces in closedconduit systems exist (for example, Edelin et al., 2015; Kuru et al., 1995). The authors are aware of just 12 such studies, as summarized in Table 1, where the Reynolds number, Re<sub>pipe</sub>, is defined as follows:

$$Re_{\rm pipe} = \frac{U_{ave}D}{\nu},\tag{1}$$

where  $U_{ave}$  is the mean axial flow velocity, *D* is the conduit diameter and v is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid phase.

Of the studies listed in Table 1, four were performed in rectangular channels and the rest in cylindrical pipes.

Table 1           Studies of bedforms in closed conduits.						
Reference	Conduit	Dimensions (mm)	Mean flow velocity (m $s^{-1}$ ) or $Re$	Particle size (mm)	Particle density (10 <sup>3</sup> kg m <sup><math>-3</math></sup> )	No. runs
Ismail (1952) (in Acaroglu, 1968, p. 113)	Rectangular	$27 \times 7.6$	8.93-1.50	0.091, 0.147	2.65	60
Thomas (1964)	Pipe	25.1, 100.4	0.12-0.37	0.05-0.507	1.09, 2.65	$\sim$ 75
Wilson (1965) (in Acaroglu, 1968, p. 113)	Rectangular	$9.37 \times 9.37$	0.69-2.03	0.710	2.65	67
Kriegel and Brauer (1966) (in Acaroglu, 1968, p. 113)	Pipe	53.5	0.72-2.27	1.15-1.90	1.38-1.43	129
Acaroglu (1968)	Pipe	76.2	0.56-6.50	2.00, 2.78	2.67	223
Nakagawa and Tsujimoto (1984)	Rectangular	$310 \times 75$	0.507, 0.676	0.07	Unknown (sand)	2
Takahashi et al. (1989)	Pipe	49.7	1.2-1.6	2.18, 3.06	2.74	${\sim}40$
Kuru et al. (1995)	Pipe	31.1	Re = 1800 - 12,000	0.1, 0.3	2.4	n/a
Simkhis et al. (1999)	Pipe	40	0.3	3	1.24	1
Coleman et al. (2003)	Rectangular	300  imes 100	0.263-0.703	0.11, 0.87	2.65	12
Ouriemi et al. (2009)	Pipe	30	$Re = 10^{-1} - 10^4$	0.132, 0.193, 0.538	2.490, 1.177, 1.070	n/a
Edelin et al. (2015)	Pipe	30	Re < 15,000	0.756	0.907	n/a

Coleman et al. (2003) reviewed the majority of these studies and highlighted that available data on the generation and development of bedforms in closed-conduit flows are limited.

Ouriemi et al. (2009) classified time-dependent bedforms observed in pipe flow as either "small", "vortex" or "sinuous" dunes, the latter being observed only in turbulent flow. However, it is important to note that, in the sedimentology literature, "sinuous" refers to the latitudinal shape of dunes rather than the longitudinal shape, and the bedforms observed by Ouriemi et al. (2009) were strictly ripples, not dunes, based on their observed dimensions and the diameter of the particles used. They also presented a phase diagram of *Re* against  $Ga(H/d)^2$ , where *H* is the fluid depth (*i.e.* H=D-h, where *D* is the pipe diameter and *h* is the bed depth), *d* is the particle diameter and Ga is the Galilei number, which is defined as follows:

$$Ga = \frac{d^3(s-1)g}{\nu^2} = Re_p^2,$$
 (2)

where *s* is the specific gravity of the solid phase, *g* is the acceleration due to gravity,  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid and Re<sub>*p*</sub> is the particle Reynolds number, defined as:

$$Re_p = \frac{d[(s-1)gd]^{1/2}}{\nu}.$$
(3)

In their phase diagram, Ouriemi et al. (2009) correctly predicted the threshold between a stationary and moving bed, and the development of "small dunes", according to a linear stability analysis. Additionally, they found that the behavior of two categories of bedforms ("small" and "vortex") were well separated on a plot of  $h_b/D$  against  $U_{avet}/D$ , where  $h_b$  is the bedform height and t is time.

In the majority of the studies listed in Table 1, only ripples or dunes (and not both) were likely to have been encountered, based on the particle diameters used in the experiments: in the cases of the studies of Kriegel and Brauer (1966), Acaroglu (1968), Takahashi et al. (1989) and Simkhis et al. (1999), only dunes; in the cases of Ismail (1952), Nakagawa and Tsujimoto (1984), Wilson (1965) and Edelin et al. (2015) only ripples. Coleman et al., (2003) used two particle types of different sizes, one that was likely to produce ripples and the other to produce dunes. It is also important to note, as Coleman et al. (2003) have done, that measurements of the bed dimensions were taken while the flow was stopped in the studies of Nakagawa and Tsujimoto (1984) and Kuru et al. (1995). It is therefore possible that flow deceleration influenced the measurements in those cases.

There are several differences between open and closed, and cylindrical and rectangular conduits that would be expected to influence the development and equilibrium dimensions of bedforms, and therefore the structure of any phase diagram that describes them. In a conduit with a circular cross-section, the flow structure may be significantly modified by the presence of a thick bed (Adams et al., 2011); secondly, calculations of bulk quantities such as mass flux must take account of the variation in chord length (i.e. bed width at the top of the bed) with height, although Kuru et al. (1995), for example, in their study of erodible beds in pipes, assumed that the asymmetrical effects of the circular pipe are not significant when the shear layer is very thin compared to the pipe diameter. These differences aside, Coleman et al. (2003) have stated that, although the absence of a free surface causes bedforms to develop more quickly in closed conduits, their equilibrium dimensions appear to be the same as in equivalent openchannels flows. Thomas (1964) also noted that bedforms in open, closed, natural and artificial flow geometries appear to be closely related phenomena, and thus theories should account for both system types.

Given the lack of data on bedform behavior in closed conduits and the engineering implications of the associated flow transitions, it is clearly a pressing area of research. Here the initial, nonequilibrium behavior of time-dependent bedforms in two-phase, liquid-solid flow in a horizontal pipe is investigated using a novel ultrasonic backscatter method and *via* video image analysis. The overall aim is to derive a phase diagram of bedform types, for which several categories are defined, and the thresholds delineating the types are identified.

#### 2. Background

It is important to identify some underlying properties of ripples and dunes, which are generally identified as different kinds of bedforms of "distinctly separate scales with no gradual transition" (Coleman and Nikora, 2011). García (2008) has reviewed some common ripple and dune scalings in terms of equilibrium height, wavelength and celerity (*i.e.* rate of progradation), and states that dune *heights* satisfy the following expression:

$$\frac{h_b}{H} \le \frac{1}{6},\tag{4}$$

where  $h_b$  is the bedform height and H is the fluid depth. However, this expression does not distinguish between dunes and ripples, as ripples are independent of flow depth and are smaller than dunes (Charru et al., 2013). Julien and Klaassen (1995) derived the following relationship for dunes based on a compilation of laboratory and field data, which allows relative dune height to be related to particle size ( $d_{50}$ ):

$$\frac{h_b}{H} = 2.5 \left(\frac{d_{50}}{H}\right)^{0.3}.$$
(5)

Van Rijn (1984a) derived a relationship that defined the critical dune height ratio in terms of the critical shear flow velocities and particle size relationship as follows (van Rijn, 1984a):

$$\frac{h_b}{H} = 0.11 \left(\frac{h_b}{H}\right)^{0.3} \left(1 - e^{-0.5T}\right) (25 - T),\tag{6}$$

where T is referred to as the transport stage parameter, which is defined below:

$$\Gamma = \frac{\left(U_{\tau}'\right)^2 - \left(U_{\tau,cr}\right)^2}{\left(U_{\tau,cr}\right)^2},$$
(7)

where  $U'_{\tau}$  and  $U_{\tau, cr}$  are the particle bed shear velocity and the critical bed shear velocity, respectively, and are as follows:

$$U_{\tau}' = \frac{g^{1/2} U_{\text{flow}}}{C},\tag{8}$$

$$\frac{(U_{\tau,cr})^2}{(s-1)gd_{50}} = \theta_s = \begin{cases} 0.24(d^*)^{-1} & d^* \le 4\\ 0.14(d^*)^{-0.64} & 4 < d^* \le 10\\ 0.04(d^*)^{-0.10} & 10 < d^* \le 20\\ 0.24(d^*)^{0.29} & 20 < d^* \le 150\\ 0.055 & d^* > 150 \end{cases}$$
(9)

where  $U_{\text{flow}}$  is the mean axial flow velocity in the flow area and  $d^*$  is the dimensionless particle size and C is the particle Chézy coefficient, which are defined as (García, 2008; van Rijn, 1984a):

$$d^* = d_{50} \left[ \frac{(s-1)g}{\nu^2} \right]^{1/3},$$
(10)

$$C' = 18\log\left(\frac{4H}{d_{90}}\right),\tag{11}$$

and  $\theta_s$  is the Shields number, a dimensionless shear stress defined as follows:

$$\theta_{\rm s} = \frac{\tau_b}{gd(\rho_{\rm s} - \rho_f)},\tag{12}$$

where  $\rho_s$  and  $\rho_f$  are the solid and fluid densities, respectively, and  $\tau_b$  is the bed shear stress.

Coleman and Eling (2000) and Coleman et al. (2003) found that the following expression for the wavelength,  $\lambda_b$ , of initial bedforms referred to as "wavelets" fit a large number of data taken from the literature:

$$\lambda_b = 175d^{0.75},\tag{13}$$

with  $\lambda_b$  and *d* in millimeters, while various expressions for equilibrium bedform wavelength have been given by Julien and Klaassen (1995), Raudkivi (1997) and Baas (1993, 1994) but are not reproduced here for brevity, as the focus here is on initial bedform development.

An important consideration is the choice of scaling parameters for constructed phase diagrams. While the Shields number is quite often used (see, for example, Edelin et al., 2015; Kuru et al., 1995) both Ouriemi et al. (2009) and Edelin et al. (2015) found that it was inadequate to describe ripple and dune transition in pipe flows and concluded that the geometry of the flow should also be incorporated. Alternatively, both Ouriemi et al. (2009) and Sumer and Bakioglu (1984) found that an instability occurred at a critical value of a characteristic Reynolds number (a particle Reynolds number in the case of the former group, and a grain Reynolds number in the latter). However, Charru and Hinch (2006) delineated stable and unstable plane beds with a critical value of the Galilei number, Ga, which is defined in Eq. (2) and is a measure of the ratio of gravitational to viscous forces, of which gravity tends to be stabilizing and viscosity destabilizing. As measurements of the bed critical shear stress are much more difficult in closed conduits than in open channels, use of Ga as a scaling parameter is advantageous for pipe-flow studies. Additionally, in any closed conduit, the absence of a free water surface means a no-slip condition exists at all boundaries, and anti-dunes and chutes/pools, the formation of which depends on the interaction between the bed and free water surfaces, cannot develop. The Froude number, upon which the delineation of sub- and supercritical flows is based, is defined as follows:

$$Fr = \frac{U_{flow}}{(gH)^{1/2}},$$
(14)

where *H* is the fluid depth. Although other definitions of the Froude number exist (for example, Gillies et al., 2004), the one above permits comparison of open- and closed-duct, channel and pipe flows, since  $U_{\text{flow}}$  and *H* can be defined unambiguously in all cases.

In contrast to Yalin (1972), Kuru et al. (1995) found that "sand waves" form on initially smooth beds under laminar flow and that turbulence is not required; similar observations were made by Coleman and Eling (2000) and Coleman et al. (2003). However, as described in more detail later, all ripples/dunes described here developed under turbulent flow conditions.

#### 3. Experimental method

#### 3.1. Particle and flow loop properties

An off-the-shelf ultrasonic system was chosen for the present study, consisting of a *UVP-DUO* signal processor (Met-Flow, Switzerland) and a monostatic (*i.e.* emitter-receiver) transducer operating at 4 MHz. The transducer was mounted, perpendicular to the mean flow direction, on a transparent, horizontal test section of a recirculating pipe flow loop with an inner diameter of D = 42.6 mm at a distance 75 D from the nearest fitting to ensure the flow was fully developed (*i.e.* statistically invariant in the axial direction) at the test section. More details of the flow loop employed can be found elsewhere (Rice et al., 2015a). In addition,

high-quality video footage of bedform development in a single experiment was recorded with a Nikon D3100 camera and a Nikon AF Micro-Nikkor 60 mm f2.8D lens. A variable centrifugal pump was used to control the flow rate, an impeller mixer to maintain a suspension in the mixing tank (nominal capacity 100 liters, *i.e.* 0.1 m<sup>3</sup>) and an electromagnetic flow meter to measure the flow rate, *Q*. The flow loop was filled with suspensions of the particle species at several nominal (weighed) concentrations,  $\phi_w$ , with  $\phi_w = 0.1$ , 0.5, 1 and 3% by volume, and run over a range of flow rates, as described in more detail later (Section 3.3).

Non-spherical plastic particles, the physical properties of which are summarized in Table 2, were used in all the experiments and were sourced from a blast media supplier (Guyson International, Ltd., UK). A micrograph of the particle species is given in the Supplementary Material. The particle size distribution was measured with a Malvern Instruments *Mastersizer 3000* laser diffraction sizer and a Retsch Camsizer XT optical size and shape analyzer, and the density with a Micromeritics *AccuPyc 1300* pycnometer.

#### 3.2. Distance calibration and bed depth measurement

The methods of positional calibration of the acoustic probe and bed depth measurement are as described by Rice et al. (2015a) with the same flow loop. The following parameters were used in the *UVP-DUO* system software, where *r* is the axial distance from the active face of the transducer:  $r_0$  and  $r_{max}$  are the minimum and maximum measurement distances, respectively, with  $r_0 = 5$  mm and  $r_{max} = 50$  mm; *w* is the width of each measurement bin and *s* is the separation between the central points of adjacent measurement bins, with s = w = 0.37 mm. The flow radius in all runs was R = 42.6 mm.

The acoustic transducer operates as an emitter-receiver, the root-mean-square (RMS) of the received voltage, V, as a function of distance from the probe being the basic measurement used to determine the bed depth: being solid surfaces, both (a) the lower pipe wall and (b) the top of a settled bed of particles are strongly reflective and produce a sharp peak in V. The position of (a) is used in a low-concentration test run to calibrate the exact position of the probe by finding its position relative to the pipe walls. The resulting positional offset,  $a_{off}$ , would then be applied to all subsequent runs using this particular probe arrangement. The position of (b) is then used to measure the total bed depth, h, over time in runs where a bed is present.

The flow Reynolds number, Re<sub>flow</sub>, is defined as follows:

$$Re_{\rm flow} = \frac{U_{\rm flow}H}{v},$$
 (15)

where *H* is the fluid depth, *i.e.* the distance from the lower pipe wall or sediment bed surface if present, to the upper pipe wall. The bed and flow geometry are as described by Rice et al. (2015a). For time-dependent bedforms, the total bed depth can be divided into two components such that:

$$h = h_b + h_s \tag{16}$$

where  $h_b$  is the bedform height and  $h_s$  is the depth of the settled part of the bed, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

#### 3.3. Summary of experimental procedure

The experimental procedure for each set of runs was as follows:

1 A known mass of solids corresponding to the nominal volume fraction,  $\phi_w$ , was added to the mixing tank ( $\phi_w = 0.1, 0.5, 1$  and 3% by volume); the mixer and pump turned on to produce a fully suspended flow throughout the flow loop.

# Table 2

Physical properties of particle species used in present study.

Trade name	Material	Particle size	e, <i>i</i> th percentile, $d_i$ ( <i>j</i>	um)	Density, $ ho_s$ (10 $^3$ kg m $^{-3}$ )
		<i>d</i> <sub>10</sub>	d <sub>50</sub>	d <sub>90</sub>	
Guyblast 40/60	Urea formaldehyde	269*	468*, 442**	712*	1.52

Malvern Mastersizer 3000

\*\* Retsch Camsizer XT, based on equivalent-area diameter



**Fig. 1.** Time-dependent bedform geometry and evolution. *H* and *h* are fluid and bed depths, with  $h = h_b + h_s$ , where  $h_b$  and  $h_s$  are bedform height and settled bed depth, respectively. *R* and *D* are pipe radius and diameter;  $t_i$  is  $i^{th}$  period between adjacent peak and trough.

- 2 The pump rate was reduced to a low value for several (5–10) min, in order that suspended particles settled in the test section and a flat, stationary bed of particles that did not vary over time was generated. This was then the nominal initial bed depth for the run.
- 3 The UVP-DUO (and video camera, when used) was set running, and the flow rate was set to its nominal value for the run.

To summarize, the distance to the opposite pipe wall is found using a reference run, as described in Section 3.2. In practice this meant the flow rate had to be high enough that the sediment was fully suspended and a settled bed (the top of which would act as a reflective surface itself) was not present. The settled bed thickness could then be calculated in a simple fashion.

Echo profiles (n = 500 to 5000 samples) through a vertical cross-section of the horizontal test section were taken with a transducer operating at 4 MHz and mounted at 90° to the mean flow direction. The root-mean-square of the received voltage, *V*, over groups of  $n_s = 20$  samples was then calculated (*i.e.*  $\Delta t = 1.86$  s, sample interval = 93 ms), from a sample rate of approximately 10 Hz. Four nominal solid volume fractions were used:  $\phi_w = 0.1$ , 0.5, 1 and 3%, as this meant a large range of initial bed depths could be generated, with mains water as the carrier fluid and plastic particles (Guyblast 40/60; see Table 2) as the solid. The volumetric flow rate, *Q*, was varied over a range of 1800 < Re < 26,000.

All runs were contrived to begin with an upper-plane (*i.e.* flat) moving bed with no time-dependent behavior, as the remit of the study was the initial behavior of time-dependent bedforms. This state corresponds to the initial value of the flow rate, *Q*, and bed depth, *h*. The latter is the first control parameter. The flow rate was then reduced to its nominal final value. This is the second control parameter. This procedure was used for consistency and because the two control parameters are thereby uniquely defined.



**Fig. 2.** Bed thickness against time: shallow, regular ripples (Q = 0.498 to 0.403 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t = 0,  $\phi_w = 0.1\%$ ).

#### 4. Results and discussion

# 4.1. Threshold for incipient particle motion

A total of four runs were identified – visually, then by inspection of acoustic measurements for verification – as being at the threshold of particle motion. Using the method of Peysson et al. (2009) given in the appendix, a mean value for the critical Shields number – *i.e.* that at incipient particle motion (and see Eq. (12)) – of  $\theta_{sc} = 0.094 \pm 0.043$  was measured, based on those four data and with the relative roughness constrained to  $\varepsilon/D^* = 0.01$  in each case (Peysson et al., 2009), where it is noted that  $\varepsilon/D^* \sim d/D$ ; here  $\varepsilon$  is the roughness coefficient and  $D^*$  is a length scale (see appendix), and  $d_{50}/D = 0.011$ . The measured value of  $\theta_{sc}$  falls in the range given by Ouriemi et al. (2007) of  $\theta_{sc} = 0.12 \pm 0.03$ , based on a large number of data from the literature, and also agrees well with the direct numerical simulations results of Kidanemariam and Uhlmann (2014) in channel flow with several particle types and flow conditions.

An estimate of the critical Shields number was also made using the method given by Soulsby (1997) – see the appendix – yielding a value of  $\theta_{sc} = 0.038$ , *i.e.* a significant under-prediction of the measured value given above. It is noted that the Soulsby (1997) method was employed by Edelin et al. (2015) and was found to accurately predict measured values in pipe flow with spherical, buoyant particles (s = 0.910,  $d_{50} = 756 \,\mu\text{m}$ ).

#### 4.2. Categorization of observed bedforms

The observed bedforms are categorized into five types according to their behavior and evolution over time (or lack thereof). Three examples of bedform fields that form from initially planar beds are shown in Figs. 2–4. In Fig. 2 (Q=0.498 to 0.403 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0,  $\phi_w=0.1\%$ ) a ripple bed forms with a regular period, that grows to an equilibrium height, while in Fig. 3 (Q=0.483 to 0.323 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0,  $\phi_w=0.5\%$ ) it appears that a ripple-bed forms ini-



**Fig. 3.** Bed thickness against time: transitioning ripple-to-dune formation with increasing period (Q=0.483 to 0.323 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0,  $\phi_w = 0.5\%$ ).



**Fig. 4.** Bed thickness against time: unstable and cyclic ripple-to-dune formation (Q=0.383 to 0.287 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0,  $\phi_w = 1\%$ ).

tially (with a small frequency period) which transitions to a field of dune-type bedforms with longer period.

The bed thickness in Fig. 4 (Q=0.383 to 0.287 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0,  $\phi_w = 1\%$ ) exhibits more complex behavior, varying strongly and cyclically, and the ripple/dune field is not at equilibrium at the end of the run (more than 30 minutes). The bed thickness exhibits biperiodic behavior that suggests that at least two competing modes are present, and the bedforms quite abruptly transition from ripples to dunes and back again. Similar periodic behavior has been observed by Edelin et al. (2015) in studies of ripple formation with neutrally buoyant particles in pipe flow, although in their case, two distinct ripple formations were observed with differing wavelengths and similar amplitudes, unlike in the present study.

For the purpose of consolidation and summary, a list of observed bedform types is given in Table 3, categorized broadly and in order of decreasing flow rate. It is important to note that ripples of increasing period may, in fact, be of the unstable/cyclic type, as discussed in more detail below. However, while there are similarities between them, the differences are significant enough based on the evidence collected for two categories to be defined, although it is not known how universal the delineations are. For brevity, example runs are not shown for two further bedform types: upper plane beds and beds with no particle motion.

In order to complement the acoustic data and verify the identification of bedform categories given above, a concatenated video from a single experiment (1200 seconds initial h/D = 0.278,

 $Q=0.191 \text{ l s}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{Re}_{\text{flow}} = 5341$ ) was analyzed, the bed surface identified and the wavelength,  $\lambda_b$ , and bedform celerity,  $c_b$  (*i.e.* rate of progradation), computed, where the wavelength and celerity are related as follows:

$$c_b = f_b \lambda_b. \tag{17}$$

Here  $f_b$  is the bedform frequency and was calculated as the reciprocal of the bedform period at a fixed measurement point in the video images. The videos were recorded contiguously and were concatenated into a single dataset, as shown in Fig. 5. Ripples of regular period were observed, and mean values of the ripple frequency, wavelength and celerity of  $f_b = 0.010 \pm 0.003$  $s^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda_b = 75.2 \pm 10.4$  mm and  $c_b = 0.731 \pm 0.079$  mm/s, respectively, were recorded, of which the wavelength compares well with the value predicted for the initial stage of bedform development given in Eq. (13) herein, based on Coleman and Eling (2000) and Coleman et al. (2003), *i.e.*  $\lambda_b = 99$  mm. The deviation in the apparent bed depth,  $\delta_r$ , due to refraction in the pipe wall was calculated as a function of bed depth, h, but was not incorporated into the results shown in Fig. 5(c) because (a)  $\delta_r$  was found to be small  $(0.2 \le \delta_r \text{ (mm)} \le 1 \text{ mm over the range } 8 \le h \text{ (mm)} \le 18)$ , and (b) this optical distortion does not affect the measurements of bedform frequency, wavelength and celerity, which are all calculated from extrema in the time series. Details of the calculation of  $\delta_r$  are given in the Supplementary Material. It is also noted that optical distortion due to the lens itself was no more than 0.1% (DxO Labs).

Of the bedform categories defined above, regular ripples correspond to a shorter-wavelength mode, which grows comparatively slowly (Fig. 2). The origin of the longer-wavelength mode (dunes) appears to be through one of two routes; via a progressive transition from growing ripples (Fig. 3; and left hand-side of Fig. 4), akin to the ideas of pattern coarsening of ripples (Charru et al., 2013; Fourrière et al., 2010), or from a marked and rapid change in mode (right hand-side of Fig. 4) perhaps suggestive of an underlying instability. In the case of a progressive transition this process occurs quite rapidly (< 500-1000 seconds) perhaps reflecting the faster formation of bedforms in closed conduits than in openchannel flows (Coleman et al., 2003). The mechanism responsible for the unstable behavior observed in Fig. 4 at  $t \approx 1000$  s is not clear and has not been observed before, but it is suggested that it is a manifestation of competition between crest erosion at the highest parts of the bedforms, and modification of the flow area by bedforms: as bedform thickness increases, the effective flow area is reduced, and so the mean flow velocity and pressure drop increase. which acts to increase erosion and reduce bed thickness.

Additionally, hysteresis was observed under a range of experimental conditions, of which Fig. 6 (Q=0.483 to 0.323 to 0.483 l  $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  at  $t\!=\!0,\;|\Delta Q|\!=\!0.160$  l  $\mathrm{s}^{-1},\;\phi_w\!=\!0.5\%)$  and Fig. 7 (Q\!=\!0.342 to 0.277 to 0.342 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0,  $|\Delta Q| = 0.065$  l s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\phi_w = 3\%$ ) are two examples. In both figures, upon reduction of the flow rate (first frame of each figure) the initially planar beds develop an instability in the form of ripples of increasing period transitioning to dunes (similar to Fig. 3). Upon increase of the flow rate again (second frame) the ripples are washed out and the bed tends towards a planar configuration: in Fig. 7 this occurs monotonically, whereas in Fig. 6 periodicity remains on the bed surface. This periodicity is transient and is likely to represent simple erosion of existing bed formations, the result of a competition between erosion and sedimentation. The stabilizing effect that ultimately produces an upper plane bed from ripples and dunes is thought to arise from increased sediment transport and therefore increased "crest erosion" (Charru, 2006). This mechanism has the effect of dragging particles over the crests of bedforms - where the local fluid velocity is enhanced due to narrowing of the effective flow area - and into troughs (Andreotti and Claudin, 2013).

Table 3						
Categories	of bed	/bedform	identified	in	this	study.

Bed/be	edform type	Description
1	Upper plane bed	Planar ( <i>i.e.</i> flat) bed: depth does not vary over time, once equilibrated.
		Transitional between time-varying bedforms and heterogeneous suspension.
2	Bedforms of regular period	Ripples of time-independent period: period of ripples remains constant; depth
		may increase with time. Example: Fig. 2.
3	Bedforms of increasing period	Ripples of time-dependent period which transition to dune formations; depth
		may also increase with time. Example: Fig. 3.
4	Bedforms with unstable/cyclic period	Ripples and dunes of complex time-dependent periodicity, suggesting at least
		two competing modes. Example: Fig. 4.
5	Bed with no particle motion	No particle motion occurs on surface of bed; determined visually. (Plots of such
		runs show a flat line, so no example is provided )

(a)

(b)





**Fig. 5.** Video image analysis results ( $Q = 0.191 \text{ l s}^{-1}$ ,  $\phi_w = 0.5\%$ ). (a) Frame from video, example, image dimensions: 1920 by 1080 pixels, 75.7 by 42.6 mm; (b) binary (black and white) image of same frame as in (a), solid gray line indicates bed surface (flow from left to right); and (c) bed thickness, extracted from video, at two horizontal positions (solid and dashed lines; solid upstream) separated by 27.6 mm, times corresponding to peaks and troughs (circles) also indicated for downstream position.

# 4.3. Evolution and scaling of height and axial symmetry of time-dependent bedforms

The evolution of the height and axial symmetry of the three types of time-dependent bedforms identified in Section 4.2 (*i.e.* regular, increasing and cyclic) was investigated, with reference to a range of scalings from the literature described in Section 2. The three example runs are the same as those used in Section 4.2, the details of which are summarized in Table 4, and were chosen as being representative of their bedform type;  $h_b$  and  $f_b$  are the bedform height (see Fig. 1) and bedform asymmetry factor (see Eq. (18)), respectively.

A MATLAB algorithm was used to smooth the bed depth data with respect to time and then identify the local minima and max-

а	bI	e	4	

	ummarv	of	example	runs	to	illustrate	bedform	evolution.
--	--------	----	---------	------	----	------------	---------	------------

Туре	Flow rate, Q (1 $s^{-1}$ )	Nominal conc., $\phi_w$
Regular	0.498 to 0.402	0.1
Increasing (ripples to dunes)	0.483 to 0.323	0.5
Unstable/cyclic	0.383 to 0.287	1

ima that correspond to the peaks and troughs of each bedform. The smoothing was necessary to eliminate scatter of the order of a few seconds (*i.e.* tens of samples), but was not found to affect the position or amplitude of peaks and troughs.

The results of the algorithm are shown for bedforms of increasing period in Fig. 8, from which it is clear that the algorithm ef-



**Fig. 6.** Bed thickness against time from acoustic data, showing hysteresis behavior, (a) Q=0.483 to 0.323 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0, (b) Q=0.323 to 0.483 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0 ( $|\Delta Q|=0.160$  l s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\phi_w = 0.5\%$ ). Runs were performed concurrently.



**Fig. 7.** Bed thickness against time from acoustic data, showing hysteresis behavior, (a) Q=0.342 to 0.277 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0, (b) Q=0.277 to 0.342 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0 ( $|\Delta Q|=0.065$  l s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\phi_w = 3\%$ ). Runs were *not* performed concurrently.



**Fig. 8.** Total bed thickness, *h*, against time for case of bedform field of increasing period, with ripple-to-dune transition (Q=0.483 to 0.323 l s<sup>-1</sup> at *t*=0,  $\phi_w$ =0.5%). Circles indicate local minima and maxima (*i.e.* peaks and troughs).

ficiently identifies the peaks – which are signified by circles – of simple regular bedforms and bedforms of increasing period.

The evolution and scaling of bedform height,  $h_b$ , with respect to time was investigated, using the digitized profiles. Ouriemi et al.

(2009) found that the relative height  $(h_b/H)$  of different bedform types (specifically, "vortex" and "small dunes") were very well delineated when plotted against a dimensionless measure of time  $(U_{ave}t/D)$ . Furthermore, several expressions are available in the sedimentology literature for the equilibrium dimensions,  $h_b/H$ , of bedforms, as given in Section 2 (García, 2008; Julien and Klaassen, 1995; Ouriemi et al., 2009; van Rijn, 1984a, c). The calculated bedform depths for each bedform type in those expressions were compared, at which point it should be noted that  $U_{\rm flow}$  and the initial value of H were used when calculating predictions of  $h_b/H$  – and therefore C',  $U'_*$  and T – according to Eqs. (4), (5) and (6). The predictions are overlaid on plots of the data as horizontal lines in frame (b) of each of the three figures below (*i.e.* Figs. 9-11). It is also important to note that the expressions against which the results are to be compared (specifically Eqs. (4), (5) and (6)) are strictly for predicting equilibrium bedform dimensions, whereas the bedforms in this study were not thought to be at equilibrium. Therefore, while there is a significant question as to the validity of these expressions in the present case, the purpose of making the comparison was initially to confirm that the observed bedforms were, indeed, not at equilibrium. Additionally, it allowed a qualitative judgement as to which expression for equilibrium dimensions was closest to the observed bedforms, had the experimental runs been longer.



**Fig. 9.** Scaling and evolution of bedform height,  $h_b$ , relative to fluid height, H, against time, t, for case of ripple bedform field of regular period (Q = 0.498 to 0.402 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t = 0,  $\phi_w = 0.1$ %).



**Fig. 10.** Scaling and evolution of bedform height,  $h_b$ , relative to fluid height, H, against time, t, for case of bedform field of increasing period (Q=0.483 to 0.323 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0,  $\phi_W=0.5\%$ ).



**Fig. 11.** Scaling and evolution of bedform height,  $h_b$ , relative fluid height, H, against time, t, for case of unstable/cyclic bedform field alternating between ripples and dunes (Q=0.383 to 0.287 l s<sup>-1</sup> at t=0,  $\phi_w = 1\%$ ).

The evolution of  $h_b/H$  against t, is shown in Figs. 9–11, respectively, for each of the three bedform types considered above. The first clear observation to be made is that the bedform height is significantly smaller, with less scatter (with the exception of a small anomaly around t = 1000 s), in the case of regular ripples (Fig. 9) and appears to be at equilibrium by t = 500 s. The observed logarithmic increase in ripple size over time is similar to that commonly seen in channel flows (Malarkey et al., 2015) and is of a similar order to ripple formations found in floating beds of neutrally buoyant particles in closed-pipe flow (Edelin et al., 2015).

Importantly, the equilibrium profiles satisfy the definition of ripples, by being substantially under the  $h_b/H$  ratio for dune transition predicted by Julien and Klaassen (1995) and van Rijn (1984a), as given in Eqs. (5) and (6);  $h_b/H$  also remains below the 1/6 value of García's (2008) dune predictor in Eq. (4), although as noted earlier this expression does not distinguish between dunes and ripples. It is thought that these definitions, derived for openchannel flow, are however not valid for closed conduits such as pipes. Conceptually, the most important difference is the lack of a free surface: in a closed-conduit flow, the no-slip condition at the upper wall means the local flow velocity near the bed surface - and therefore the shear stress and, if the relevant conditions are met, the sediment transport rate - is likely to be larger than in an open-conduit flow with properties (i.e. cross-sectional area and bulk flow rate) that are otherwise the same. In this way, the discrepancy between the expressions of García (2008), Julien and Klaassen (1995) and van Rijn (1984a) and the bedform dimensions measured in this study in a closed conduit can be understood: the velocity and shear stress fields generate different bedform behavior than in open conduits.

Inspection of Fig. 10 (bedforms of increasing period, showing a ripple-to-dune transition) and Fig. 11 (unstable/cyclic bedform fields alternating over time between ripples and dunes) reveals very similar initial behavior - a monotonic increase in bedform height, albeit with some scatter, for 0 < t (s) < 500. At that point, however, the behavior of the two bedform types diverges: in the first (Fig. 10),  $h_b$  continues to rise with a secondary phase, from t > 500, and does not reach an equilibrium height within the timescale of the experiment. In Fig. 15, the  $h_b$  ratio becomes extremely unstable, with phases that appear at a ratio less than 0.2 and more than 0.3, although with significant scatter. While quantitative distinctions between these oscillating cases are difficult to make, there appears to be value in comparison to the dune transition correlations of Julien and Klaassen (1995) and van Rijn (1984a).  $h_b/H$  ratios in both Figs. 10 and 11 approach that for dune transitions as described by van Rijn (1984a), although the ratios are significantly below that of Julien and Klaassen (1995). The likely reason for the closer correlation to the van Rijn (1984a) relationship is that it contains a larger number of flow-specific parameters that more accurately account for pipe flow but which are absent from the García (2008) and Julien and Klaassen (1995) expressions.

To provide further evidence for the ripple-to-dune transition, the axial symmetry of the three time-dependent types of bedforms was investigated, and was quantified by the bedform asymmetry factor,  $f_b$ , defined as the ratio of the periods between adjacent minima and maxima in the bed depth, as illustrated by  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$  in Fig. 1 and shown below:

$$f_b = \frac{t_1}{t_2}.\tag{18}$$

Here,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are the larger and smaller of  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$ , respectively (which are illustrated in Fig. 1). It is clear, then, that  $f_b$  was contrived to be the ratio of the larger to the smaller of adjacent periods, so that  $f_b \ge 1$ , in order to allow a clearer illustration of the development of asymmetry with time, where it would be expected



**Fig. 12.** (a) Bedform asymmetry factor,  $f_b$ , against time for regular ripples (solid black line; Q = 0.498 to  $0.402 \text{ I s}^{-1}$  at t = 0,  $\phi_w = 0.1\%$ ), ripples with increasing period (dashed black line; Q = 0.483 to  $0.323 \text{ I s}^{-1}$  at t = 0,  $\phi_w = 0.5\%$ ) and unstable/cyclic bedforms (solid gray line; Q = 0.383 to  $0.287 \text{ I s}^{-1}$  at t = 0,  $\phi_w = 1\%$ ); (b) schematic summary of bedform types.

that formation of dunes would correlate to an increase in  $f_b$ . Celerity and wavelength could not be measured with the acoustic system, as a single probe was used to measure bed depth. However,  $f_b$ , although a ratio of periods, is intended to be a proxy for the ratio of wavelengths of the same bedforms, which is reasonable if it assumed that the change in celerity between adjacent bedforms is small.

Plots of the bedform asymmetry factor,  $f_b$ , are shown for the three example runs (see Table 4) in Fig. 12(a) for regular ripples, ripples with increasing period and unstable/cyclic bedform fields. In the case of regular ripples,  $f_b$  remains small ( $f_b < 2$  for the vast majority of bedforms) and shows no significant trend over time, and comparison of Fig. 12(a) with Fig. 9 ( $h_b/H$  against time) confirms that the bedforms remain stable, quite axially symmetrical and of small amplitude relative to the pipe diameter and fluid depth. The trends for increasing-period ripples and unstable/cyclic bedforms are: an increase in asymmetry over the first few hundred seconds, followed by significant scatter; and much higher values of  $f_b$  compared to the first case (regular ripples). When the evolution of bedform height,  $h_b$  (Figs 10 and 11) is compared with that of bedform asymmetry, there is a broad correlation that deeper bedforms are more axially asymmetrical, as would be expected for dune-type bedforms, with unstable/cyclic bedform fields becoming most asymmetrical. The bedform types are summarized schematically in Fig. 12(b).

# 4.4. Influence of solids volume fraction and particle sphericity and roundness

In the first instance, the main influence of the solids volume fraction,  $\phi_w$  – which is that of the whole system, as was the case in the study of Edelin et al. (2015) – is on the range of achievable initial bed depths. Specifically, higher volume fractions allowed for thicker beds to form, as the test section of the flow loop acted as a sink in which particles could readily settle. The values of h/D corresponding to  $\phi_w = 0.1$ , 0.5, 1 and 3% by volume are approximately: 0 < h/D < 0.08, 0.08 < h/D < 0.18, 0.18 < h/D < 0.4 and h/D > 0.4, respectively.

Gore and Crowe (1989, 1991) found that turbulence intensity in multiphase pipe and jet flows was either attenuated or enhanced relative to equivalent single-phase (*i.e.* unladen) flow according to the value of  $d/l_e$ , where *d* is the particle diameter and  $l_e$ is the length scale of the most energetic turbulent eddies such that  $l_e \approx 0.1 D$  (Hinze, 1959). Above and below  $d/l_e \approx 0.1$ , turbulence intensity is enhanced or attenuated, respectively. In the experiments presented here,  $d/l_e = 0.110$ , and so the modulation effect is likely to be negligible.

Once a bed had formed in the flow apparatus, the ambient volume fraction appeared to be very low, as it was depleted by the existing bed, as is clear from Fig. 13 (same run as in Fig. 5: see also associated text), and from visual inspection during the runs. The similarity with images of bedforms in motion presented by Gao (2008) and others (Edelin et al., 2015; McLean et al., 1994; Raudkivi, 1963) is noted. Although in the present experiments the turbulence modulation effect was likely negligible, in general it would be expected to increase with solids concentration and affect the deposition behavior.

The particle sphericity and roundness were measured in order to quantify their effect on settling velocity as it pertains to incipient motion and bedform behavior. The expression given by Dietrich (1982) for settling velocity – see the appendix – was evaluated using measured values of the Corey shape factor,  $F_s$  (a measure of sphericity) and the Powers roundness factor, P, measured with a Retsch *Camsizer XT* optical shape analyzer. The calculated settling velocities were then compared to those for equivalent particles of perfect smoothness and sphericity to quantify the effects of those properties. The results are summarized below.

- 1 The median particle size (*i.e.*  $d_{50}$ ) measured with the optical instrument and assumed to be that of a circle of equivalent projected area, was 442 µm, very close to the value of 468 µm measured with the *Mastersizer* laser-diffraction instrument (see Table 2);
- 2 The Corey shape factor was measured to be  $F_s = 0.842$  (where a value of 0 corresponds to a rod and 1 to a sphere; Corey, 1949; Dietrich, 1982) with a corresponding reduction in dimensionless settling velocity,  $w^*$ , to 0.79 of that for an equivalent spherical particle (*i.e.* with  $F_s = 1$ );
- 3 The Powers roundness factor was measured to be P = 2.49, corresponding to a "sub-angular" shape (on a scale from 0: "very angular" to 6: "well rounded"; Powers, 1953; Syvitski, 2007) with a corresponding reduction in dimensionless settling velocity,  $w^*$ , to 0.91 of that for an equivalent well-rounded particle (*i.e.* with P = 6).
- 4 The effect of both Corey shape factor and Powers roundness factor was to reduce the settling velocity,  $w^*$ , to 0.77 of that for an equivalent spherical, well rounded particle (*i.e.* with  $F_s = 1$  and P = 6), where it is noted that the effects of  $F_s$  and P given above individually do not combine in a simple way.

So, the shape and roundness of the particles strongly affect the settling behavior of the particles such that the particles are more readily suspended, and bedforms are thereby expected to be



(b)

Fig. 13. Images of flow over regular ripple bed (Q=0.191 l s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\phi_w = 0.5\%$ ; 1920 by 1080 pixels, 75.7 by 42.6 mm). Dashed lines represent boundary between stationary and moving parts of bed, from inspection of detail in images. Images (a) and (b) from same run, separated in time by  $\Delta t \approx 3.5$  minutes and showing different ripples. Circled areas show examples of common bed features in stationary part of bed.

eroded and migrate more quickly than they would with equivalent spherical particles. Critically, the settling velocity is intimately involved in resuspension and incipient particle motion, which proceeds when the bed shear velocity becomes comparable to the settling velocity (Hinze, 1959; van Rijn, 1984b). So, to first order, particle shape might be expected to reduce the flow rate necessary for incipient particle motion, which is consistent with the value of the critical Shields number calculated here being lower than that predicted by Ouriemi et al. (2007), as described earlier.

Lastly, it is noted that Clark et al. (2015) found that the threshold for particle motion has a strongly hysteretic character: that is, the threshold differs depending on whether the flow rate is decreased until the particles cease to move, or if the flow is gradually increased until particles on the surface of an initially stationary bed begin to move. It is clear that this mechanism, along with the others described above, contributes to the complexity of bedform behavior, that complexity being greater in the case of angular, non-spherical particles.

### 4.5. Phase diagrams of bedforms in closed pipe flow

A phase diagram of bedform types - as categorized in Table 3 is presented in Fig. 14 in terms of the bulk Reynolds number, Re<sub>pipe</sub> (Eq. (1)), against  $Ga(H/d)^2$  (see Eq. (2) for the Galilei number, Ga), where *H* and *d* are the fluid depth and particle diameter, respectively. It is noted that Ga is constant for a given particle species and so the quantity that varies in Fig. 14 is the ratio H/d. These variables are as used by Ouriemi et al. (2009) with silica particles. It should be noted that H is the initial value before the bed surface is perturbed by a change in flow rate. These quantities were chosen because they have a common interpretation in all the runs and could be evaluated in a consistent way. It should also be noted that the three-dimensional "sinuous dunes" observed by Ouriemi et al. (2009, 2010) were not observed in the present study: all bedforms were two-dimensional (by visual inspection). Data from a total of 58 runs at several nominal concentrations  $(0.1\% < \phi_w < 3\%)$ are presented and a summary table of all the runs is given in the Supplementary Material.

The regions in Fig. 14 corresponding to no particle motion, ripples/dunes and upper plane beds are well delineated. The three time-dependent bedform types are less well delineated, but the tentative observation can be made that regular ripples are clustered at higher values of  $Ga(H/d)^2$  and increasing and unstable ripple-to-dune formations at lower values. This (a) is an inversion relative to the observations of Ouriemi et al. (2009), who observed "small dunes" at lower values of  $Ga(H/d)^2$  and larger, "vortex dunes" at higher values; and (b) highlights that they generally occur in conditions where the flow thickness becomes an impor-



**Fig. 14.** Phase diagram of bedforms in pipe flow according to bulk Reynolds number,  $\text{Re}_{\text{pipe}}$  against  $\text{Ga}(H/d)^2$ . Unfilled triangles: upper plane bed; pluses: regular ripples; crosses: unstable ripple-to-dune cycles; stars: ripples that transition with increasing period to dunes; filled triangles: no particle motion. Dashed lines indicate transitions between no particle motion, time-dependent bedform fields and upper plane beds, and are fitted visually. Solid line is prediction for incipient particle motion threshold from Ouriemi et al. (2009).

tant factor, although clear delineations between the two bedform types are not immediately evident. Additionally, this behavior suggests they are transitional between ripples and upper plane beds. There is a clear dependence of bedform type on Reynolds number, while it appears that both ripples and dunes occur in a relatively small region around  $Re_{pipe} = 10,000$  (and thus all within a turbulent flow field).

To reiterate, inspection of the bulk Reynolds number,  $\text{Re}_{\text{pipe}}$ , in Fig. 14 demonstrates that the flow was turbulent in all runs except for two, in both of which no particle motion was observed. In particular, these two runs had  $\text{Re}_{\text{flow}} = 1780$  and 2680; the flow was therefore laminar and transitional/turbulent, respectively, assuming the transition in pipe flow occurs in the region of  $\text{Re}_{\text{flow}} \approx 2300-2500$  (Edelin et al., 2015; Ouriemi et al., 2009). However, the flow rates for the two laminar runs were below that for incipient particle motion.

A number of other observations and conclusions can be drawn from Fig. 14, which is plotted with the same variables as the phase diagram of Ouriemi et al. (2009). First, the threshold for particle motion (Re<sub>pipe</sub>  $\approx$  6500) does not vary with Ga(*H*/*d*)<sup>2</sup>. Second, the threshold between unstable bedforms and upper plane beds appears to increase with Repipe. Third, the same threshold vanishes at low flow  $Ga(H/d)^2$ , although this observation is tentative as it is based on rather few data. Fourth, and most importantly, the observed thresholds do not appear to closely match those given by Ouriemi et al. (2009), although it is difficult to gauge the closeness of the match as the results presented here fall into a small area in the upper limits of the parameter space investigated by Ouriemi et al. (2009). The threshold for incipient particle motion according to the expression given by Ouriemi et al. (2009) was calculated, namely  $\operatorname{Re}_{\operatorname{pipe}} = (2\theta_{sc}/3\beta\pi)\operatorname{Ga}(H/d)^2 = 0.0108 \operatorname{Ga}(H/d)^2$ , where  $\beta$  is a fitting constant found by Ouriemi et al. (2007) to be  $\beta = 1.85$  and  $\theta_{sc} = 0.094$  as measured here, but the expression does not correctly predict the observed threshold for incipient particle motion, as is clear from Fig. 14. It is also noted that the instability threshold predicted by Ouriemi et al. (2009), namely  $\text{Re}_{\text{pipe}} = 140\phi_m/3\beta\pi\theta_{sc} = 43.9$ , where  $\phi_m$  is the maximum packing fraction in the bed, with  $\phi_m = 0.514$  (Rice et al. 2015a), underpredicts the Reynolds number at which ripples are first observed in the present study by several orders of magnitude – and, in fact, falls below the threshold for incipient particle motion – and is therefore not included in Fig. 14.

These differences are not surprising because: (a) the Ouriemi et al. (2009) model assumes viscous flow and their experiments were performed under different conditions, whereas the majority of runs were turbulent in the present study; (b) a particle flux was maintained throughout each run in the present study, whereas it was not in the Ouriemi et al. (2009) study; (c) all the bedforms observed in this study were two-dimensional, unlike the three-dimensional sinuous dunes of Ouriemi et al. (2009); and (d) Ouriemi et al. (2009) found lower plane beds - i.e. flat beds at lower Reynolds numbers than for ripples - whereas none were observed in this study; moreover, upper plane beds were observed in this study, but not by Ouriemi et al. (2009). So, the fluid and particle dynamics are clearly different, and the parameters most likely to account for the differences between this study and that of Ouriemi et al. (2009) are particle shape, size, density and particle size distribution. While Ouriemi et al. (2009) presented some data from large plastic particles of a similar size to the current study, most particle types investigated were much smaller. Additionally, all particles were spherical in shape, and had much narrower particle size distributions than those used here. These parameters will affect bed roughness and saltation-induced roughness, and in particular for broader distributions such as those used here, where bed armoring (see later discussion) by the larger particles can occur,  $d_{50}$  may not be the optimal measure of particle size, and  $d_{90}$  or another parameter incorporating the size distribution range may be more suitable (Kleinhans et al., 2017; Peakall et al., 1996). Clearly, the position of the thresholds between the various bedform types are either very different, inverted or non-existent, depending on the particle and flow parameters. The last observation is that the variables used, as chosen by Ouriemi et al. (2009) – Re<sub>pipe</sub> and Ga(H/d)<sup>2</sup> – do not appear to able to capture the universal behavior of bedforms in closed pipes, and an effort was made to improve the parameterization of the phase diagram.



**Fig. 15.** Illustration of a suggested universal scaling for closed-conduit flows of any cross-sectional shape.

When comparing data from natural and laboratory systems, and from conduits with rectangular, circular and other cross-sectional shapes, it is clear from the results presented that many time- and length scales can be used, but that not all are universal because the geometry – and therefore flow field and particle concentration profiles – differ. For example, a non-zero bed depth in pipe flow modifies the chord length at the bed surface and the shape of the flow area, whereas in rectangular channel flow it does not. This issue is discussed in more detail, with the aim of suggesting scalings that are more universal and allow more direct comparison of data from different flow geometries at low flow rates.

The most important point to note is that total bed depth, h, and pipe diameter, D, influence the flow behavior in so far as they modulate the flow area and bedform chord length. However, the ratio H/d does not appear to have a strong effect on the threshold for incipient motion, as is clear from Fig. 14. The flow does not interact with the lower, stationary part of the bed, as can be seen in the two frames in Fig. 13, and particle motion is confined to the body of the ripples.

Upon first consideration, then, the three quantities that will influence the behavior of the bed, and which ought to be chosen to allow comparison between various flow geometries, are (a) the fluid depth above the bed, (b) the fluid velocity at or near the bed surface, and (c) the size of the particles. However, it should be noted that in the special case of very large particles or very small flow cross-sections, the fluid depth or pipe diameter in the case of a very thin bed would be an important parameter. This is not the case in this study, in which  $d_{50}/D \approx 90$ .

So, some combination of (a) H, (b)  $U_{ave}$ , and (c)  $d_{50}$  may appear optimal. However, it is suggested that more physically meaningful choices can be made. In the case of (a) an equivalent fluid depth,  $H_{eq}$ , is proposed and is as illustrated in Fig. 15.  $H_{eq}$  is calculated by conserving the chord length, c - i.e. the cross-sectional width of the bed at its surface – and the flow area,  $A_{flow}$ , between flow geometries, since these two quantities are posited as being of principal importance in terms of their influence on bedform behavior. That is,

$$cH_{\rm eq} = A_{\rm flow} = \frac{Q}{U_{\rm flow}},\tag{19}$$

where *c* and  $A_{\text{flow}}$  are calculated from the measured value of *h* geometrically. The choice of  $H_{\text{eq}}$  also naturally yields the second parameter, (b)  $U_{\text{flow}}$ , as the most appropriate.

The third choice to be made is a representative particle size at the bed surface. Although  $d_{50}$  is the most obvious choice, it may be a poor one: if the particle size distribution is wide, then the particles deposited at the surface of the bed may be significantly larger than  $d_{50}$ , since larger particles will tend to deposit more readily than smaller ones. For this reason,  $d_{90}$ , may be a better choice. Also, the size of particles at the bed surface may depend in a more complex way on the flow rate and ambient particle concentration, and the processes of armoring and overpassing, well known by sedimentologists (García, 2008; Raudkivi, 1976), may also play a

role. The first is a process by which larger particles constitute the top layer of the bed, and smaller particles are thereby "armored" from the influence of fluid flow; in the second, larger particles skip over a bed of smaller particles. Indeed, in previous work on jet erosion of various mineral sediments by several of the present authors, it was found that  $d_{90}$  was a far better predictor of behavior than  $d_{50}$  for these reasons (Hunter et al., 2013). It is also noted that  $d_{90}$ , rather than  $d_{50}$ , was chosen by van Rijn (1984a) as a representative particle size at the bed surface when calculating *C*', the particle Chézy coefficient. The resulting expressions for the transport stage parameter, *T*, and  $h_b/H$  (Eq. (6)) were found to predict equilibrium bedform dimensions more accurately than others earlier in this section, although only reasonably accurately.

So, it is suggested that choosing  $H_{eq}$ ,  $U_{flow}$  and  $d_{90}$  – rather than, say, H or D,  $U_{ave}$  and  $d_{50}$  without further consideration – has the advantages of (a) capturing all the relevant scales, and (b) allowing more direct comparison between data obtained in conduits of different cross-sectional shapes. For example, in conduits with rectangular cross-sections,  $H_{eq} \equiv H$ . When applied to a specific case, in particular the evolution of bedform height,  $h_b$ , with time, t, as described in this section, the corresponding choice of parameters would be  $h_b/H_{eq}$  against  $U_{flow}t/H_{eq}$  (rather than  $h_b/H$  against t as shown in Figs. 9–11). Both parameters have universal, unambiguous meanings in many flow geometries and accurately represent the physical situation in the flow, because as many important flow parameters as possible are taken in to account, and because results can readily be compared to the expressions for  $h_b$  given.

With the preceding arguments on universal scalings in mind, and with reference to a similar combination used by Ouriemi et al. (2009), a second phase diagram – shown in Fig. 16– was constructed based on the combination  $Ga_{eq}(H_{eq}/d_{90})^2$  and  $Re_{eq}$ , which are defined as follows:

$$Re_{\rm eq} = \frac{U_{\rm flow} H_{\rm eq}}{\nu},\tag{20}$$

$$Ga_{eq} = \frac{d_{90}^3 (s-1)g}{\nu^2}.$$
 (21)

Two important observations can be made from Fig. 16. Most significantly, the small, regular ripple formations seem to be clustered at higher values of the abscissa (*i.e.* larger relative fluid depths), whereas the other two variable bedform types are clustered at smaller values. It can also be seen from Fig. 16 that the initial relative fluid depth strongly influences the initial bedform type. For example, at a flow Reynolds number of  $Re_{eq} = 10^4$ , it is conceivable that any of the five bedform types could be obtained, depending on the value of the abscissa. This dependence of bedform type on initial fluid depth is entirely absent from the literature, but has important engineering implications in terms of its possible tendency to cause blockages, plugging and flow variability generally.

So, *h* and *D* clearly have a large effect on initial bedform evolution since they determine the shape of the flow cross-section. However, the equivalent fluid depth,  $H_{eq}$ , and the generalized flow Reynolds number,  $Re_{eq}$  (which takes account of the modulated cross-sectional shape), appear to be better predictors of bedform behavior, as shown in Fig. 16, for a given initial bed depth, and once the bedform has begun to evolve. In Fig. 16, the abscissa and ordinate incorporate  $H_{eq}$  and  $Re_{eq}$  and the boundaries between the various bedform types depend on both these parameters.

It should be made clear that a major reason for presenting the first phase diagram was to demonstrate that (a) it is unable to represent the range of results in the literature in a universal way, (b) a rethinking of the length scales – *e.g.*  $d_{90}$  in place of  $d_{50}$ ,  $H_{eq}$  in place of H – yields a phase diagram that has more predictive power in the sense that there is a dependence on both control



**Fig. 16.** Phase diagram of bedforms in pipe flow according to equivalent Reynolds number,  $Re_{eq}$  against  $Ga_{eq}(H_{eq}/d_{90})^2$ . Unfilled triangles: upper plane bed; pluses: regular ripples; crosses: unstable ripple-to-dune cycles; stars: ripples that transition with increasing period to dunes; filled triangles: no particle motion. Dashed lines indicate transitions between no particle motion, time-dependent bedform fields and upper plane beds, and are visually fitted.

Table	5
-------	---

Analogs used to compute particle characteristics.

Variable	Analog*	Definition
d <sub>s</sub> F <sub>s</sub> P	Area-equivalent diameter, $x_{area}$ Width-to-length ratio, $F_w$ Krumbein roundness, $P_k$	$\sqrt{4A_p/\pi}$ , where $A_p$ is projected area of particle $c/a$ See Krumbein (1941); Wadell (1935)

\* As measured by the Retsch Camsizer XT.

parameters, and (c) this rethinking allows for a direct comparison with a very large, mature body of earth sciences data which, although recorded in a range of open- and closed-conduit geometries and not just closed-pipe flow, are a manifestation of the same near-bed physics.

# 5. Conclusions

Measurements of time-dependent bedforms produced by the deposition of solid particles from two-phase liquid-solid flows were studied using an ultrasonic echo method in a horizontal test section of closed pipe flow loop. Results were presented for settled bed thicknesses over a range of flow rates, with hysteresis behavior in plane beds and ripples also considered. The evolution and scaling of bedform heights were then investigated. In the concluding part of the results, data gathered in a wide range of experiments were used to derive phase diagrams of bedforms in closed pipes in terms of several dimensionless numbers in which the thresholds between incipient particle motion and different bedform types were established.

Most importantly, both ripple- and dune-type bedform fields were observed under certain flow conditions, sometimes as two distinct temporally varying modes within single runs. This behavior has not been reported before, and it is thought that it may be due both to the particular particle size used in this study and to the irregular shape and roughness of the particles. Correlations for equilibrium bedform dimensions, such as those devised by García (2008), Julien and Klaassen (1995) and van Rijn (1984a), were of limited value, although the last was found to most accurately predict the height of the evolved bedforms in this study.

Several non-equilibrium phase diagrams were generated categorizing formations as stable ripples, transitioning ripple-to-dune bedform fields and cyclical, alternating ripple-and-dune formations, as well as stable upper-plane beds. It should be reiterated that this study was not intended to be of equilibrium or saturation bedform dimensions - the distinction being that the former is obtained under clear-fluid conditions whereas the latter is obtained with a constant particle flux (Edelin et al., 2015) - but rather of the initial behavior beginning with flat, planar beds. This choice was driven by industrial concerns, specifically the potential problems experienced upon start-up of machinery, for example, and in the resuspension and transport of settled solids. Phase diagrams would certainly be expected to be significantly different in equilibrium and saturated conditions, as they are known to be in natural and flume/open-channel flows. However, this study addresses only the case of initial behavior. In addition, it is noted that bedforms under equilibrium and saturation conditions in closed pipes have received very little attention, and the authors are aware of only one such study (Edelin et al., 2015).

No single model, either from the sedimentology or the engineering/fluid mechanics literature, was able to fully account for the observed bedform types, in terms of a phase diagram or otherwise. It was suggested that both a full set of initial conditions – at least  $d_{90}$ ,  $h_b$ ,  $H_{eq}$ , t,  $U_{flow}$  and v, where  $H_e$  is an equivalent fluid depth, as illustrated in Fig. 16– as well as the magnitude and type of perturbation applied to the bed, be included in any dimensional analysis that is performed in order to derive a universal scaling for bedform dimensions. Any model must necessarily incorporate the dynamic nature of bedforms, including the hysteretic, path-dependent behavior described here, and the influence of initial and resultant bed and fluid depth and changes in flow rate, which are strongly linked. Further data would, however, be necessary in order to undertake such a task. The effect of the shape of the pipe cross-section must also be taken into account in future studies, and

suitable scalings (*e.g.*  $U_{\text{flow}}$  in place of  $U_{\text{ave}}$ ; *H* or  $H_e$  in place of *D*; see Section 4.5) should be chosen and justified over a range of flow geometries and particle types.

#### Acknowledgements

The present study is based on part of the Ph.D. thesis of H. P. Rice ("Transport and deposition behavior of model slurries in closed pipe flow", University of Leeds, 2013). The authors wish to thank the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council for their financial support of the work reported in this paper under EPSRC Grant EP/F055412/1, "DIAMOND: Decommissioning, Immobilisation and Management of Nuclear Wastes for Disposal". The authors also thank Peter Dawson, Gareth Keevil, Russell Dixon, Rob Thomas and Helena Brown for their technical assistance, and Olivier Mariette at *Met-Flow*, Switzerland, for his support and advice.

# Appendix

Expressions for bed shear stress and Shields number

The bed shear stress,  $\tau_b$ , can be written as follows:

$$\tau_b = \frac{\rho_f}{2} \left(\frac{Q}{A_{\text{flow}}}\right)^2 f(Re^*),\tag{22}$$

where f is the Darcy friction factor as a function of  $Re^*$ , the Reynolds number based on a length scale,  $D^*$ , computed numerically by Peysson et al. (2009) and referred to as the equivalent diameter such that:

$$f = 2\left[\left(\frac{8}{Re^*}\right)^{12} + (A+B)^{-1.5}\right]^{1/12},$$
(23)

$$Re^* = \frac{D^*Q}{\nu A_{\rm flow}},\tag{24}$$

$$A = \left\{ -2.457 \ln \left[ \left( \frac{7}{Re^*} \right)^{0.9} + 0.27 \frac{\varepsilon}{D^*} \right] \right\}^{16},$$
 (25)

$$B = , \left(\frac{37530}{Re^*}\right)^{16}, \tag{26}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the roughness length.  $D^*$  is the diameter of an equivalent pipe having the same shear stress at the wall as at the bed surface in a flow with a bed depth of h/D; values of  $D^*$  as a function of h/D are given by Peysson et al. (2009). The Shields number can then be calculated from the bed shear stress,  $\tau_b$ , and other known flow variables *via* Eq. (12).

Alternatively, the critical Shields number,  $\theta_{sc}$ , *i.e.* that at the threshold of particle motion, can be estimated using a commonly cited expression, as follows (Edelin et al., 2015; Soulsby, 1997):

$$\theta_{sc} = \frac{0.3}{1 + 1.2d^*} + 0.055(1 - e^{-0.02d^*}), \tag{27}$$

where  $d^*$  is the dimensionless particle size as defined in Eq. (10).

# Expressions for sphericity, roundness and settling velocity

Based on several hundred data, Dietrich (1982) derived an empirical expression for a dimensionless settling velocity,  $w^*$ , that depends on  $d_s$ , the diameter of a sphere of equivalent volume,  $F_s$ , the Corey shape factor that accounts for sphericity (with low values close to zero corresponding to highly elongated ellipsoids and a value of unity to a sphere) and the Powers roundness factor, P, which ranges from 0 ("very angular") to 6 ("well rounded") (Syvitski, 2007) and has traditionally been assessed visually to a precision of  $\pm\,0.5,$  and

$$F_{\rm s} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{ab}},\tag{28}$$

where a, b and c are the longest, intermediate and shortest axes of the particle. The expression for w is as follows:

$$w^* = R_3 10^{R_1 + R_2} = \frac{w^3}{g\nu(s - 1)},$$
(29)

where  $w^*$  is the settling velocity and  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  are as follows:

$$R_{1} = -3.76715 + 1.92944(\log d_{w}) - 0.09815(\log d_{w})^{2} -0.00575(\log d_{w})^{3} + 0.00056(\log d_{w})^{4},$$
(30)

$$R_{2} = \left[ \log \left( 1 - \frac{1 - F_{s}}{0.85} \right) - (1 - F_{s})^{2.3} \tanh(\log d_{w} - 4.6) \right] + 0.3(0.5 - F_{s})(1 - F_{s})^{2}(\log d_{w} - 4.6),$$
(31)

$$R_3 = \left[0.65 - \left(\frac{F_{\rm s}}{2.83} \tanh(\log d_{\rm w} - 4.6)\right)\right]^{\{1+(3.5-P)/2.5\}}.$$
 (32)

Here  $d_w$  is a dimensionless particle diameter based on  $d_s$ , the diameter of a sphere of equivalent volume, such that:

$$d_w = d_s^3 \frac{g(s-1)}{v^2}.$$
 (33)

In practice, the Retsch *Camsizer XT* was used to measure  $d_s$ , *Fs* and *P* indirectly, using the analogs described in Table 5 below, and it was assumed that (a)  $d_s = x_{area}$ , (b)  $F_s = F_w$  and (c)  $P = 6P_k$ , since  $0 < P_k < 1$  and 0 < P < 6. This method is presented as a powerful tool for quantitative assessment of the effect of particle sphericity and roundness on settling and resuspension.

#### Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2017. 03.007.

# References

- Acaroglu, E.R., 1968. Sediment Transport in Conveyance Systems. Cornell University. Adams, J.W.F., Fairweather, M., Yao, J., 2011. Particle deposition in circular pipes with variable bed height. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 318, 052001 (6 p.).
- Andreotti, B., Claudin, P., 2013. Aeolian and subaqueous bedforms in shear flows. Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 371, 20120364 (15 p.).
- Baas, J.H., 1993. Dimensional Analysis of Current Ripples in Recent and Ancient Depositional Environments. Utrecht University.
- Baas, J.H., 1994. A flume study on the development and equilibrium morphology of current ripples in very fine sand. Sedimentology 41, 185–209.
- Baas, J.H., Best, J.L., Peakall, J., 2016. Predicting bedforms and primary current stratification in cohesive mixtures of mud and sand, J. Geol. Soc. 173, 12–45.
- Banasiak, R., Tait, S., 2008. The reliability of sediment transport predictions in sewers: influence of hydraulic and morphological uncertainties. Water Sci. Technol. 57, 1317–1327.
- Banasiak, R., Verhoeven, R., 2008. Transport of sand and partly cohesive sediments in a circular pipe run partially full. J. Hydraul. Eng.ASCE 134, 216–224.
- Best, J., 2005. The fluid dynamics of river dunes: a review and some future research directions. J. Geophys. Res.-Earth 110, F04S02 (21 p.).
- Charru, F., 2006. Selection of the ripple length on a granular bed sheared by a liquid flow. Phys. Fluids 18, 121508 (9 p.).
- Charru, F., Andreotti, B., Claudin, P., 2013. Sand ripples and dunes. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 45, 469–493.
- Charru, F., Hinch, E.J., 2006. Ripple formation on a particle bed sheared by a viscous liquid. Part 1. Steady flow. J. Fluid Mech. 550, 111–121.
- Charru, F., Mouilleron-Arnould, H., 2002. Instability of a bed of particles sheared by a viscous flow. J. Fluid Mech. 452, 303–323.
- Clark, A.H., Shattuck, M.D., Ouellette, N.T., O'Hern, C.S., 2015. Onset and cessation of motion in hydrodynamically sheared granular beds. Phys. Rev. E 92, 042202 (7 p.).

Coleman, S.E., Eling, B., 2000. Sand wavelets in laminar open-channel flows. J. Hydraul. Res. 38, 331–338.

Coleman, S.E., Fedele, J.J., García, M.H., 2003. Closed-conduit bed-form initiation and development. J. Hydraul. Eng. ASCE 129, 956–965.

- Coleman, S.E., Melville, B.W., 1994. Bed-form development. J. Hydraul. Eng. ASCE 120, 544-560.
- Coleman, S.E., Nikora, V.I., 2011. Fluvial dunes: initiation, characterization, flow structure. Earth Surf. Proc. Land. 36, 39–57.
- Colombini, M., Stocchino, A., 2011. Ripple and dune formation in rivers. J. Fluid Mech. 673, 121–131.
- Corey, A.T., 1949. Influence of Shape On the Fall Velocity of Sand Grains. Colorado A&M College MS.
- Dietrich, W.E., 1982. Settling velocity of natural particles. Water Resour. Res. 18, 1615–1626.
- DxO Labs. unknown year. Nikon AF Micro-Nikkor 60 mm f/2.8D mounted on Nikon D810 : Tests and Reviews [Online]. Available: https://www.dxomark.com/Lenses/ Nikon/Nikon-AF-Micro-Nikkor-60mm-F28D-mounted-on-Nikon-D810\_963 [Accessed 2017].
- Edelin, D., Josset, C., Castelain, C., Fayolle, F., 2015. Experimental study of bedforms obtained with floating particles in a pipe flow. J. Fluid Mech. 765, 252–272.
- Engelund, F., Fredsøe, J., 1970. Instability of erodible beds. J. Fluid Mech. 42, 225–244.
- Engelund, F., Fredsøe, J., 1982. Sediment ripples and dunes. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 14, 13–37.
- Fourrière, A., Claudin, P., Andreotti, B., 2010. Bedforms in a turbulent stream: formation of ripples by primary linear instability and of dunes by nonlinear pattern coarsening. J. Fluid Mech. 649, 287–328.
- Gao, P., 2008. Transition between two bed-load transport regimes: saltation and sheet flow. J. Hydraul. Eng. ASCE 134, 340–349.
- García, M.H (Ed.), 2008, Sedimentation Engineering: Process, Measurements, Modeling and Practice. American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, Virginia.
- Gillies, R.G., Shook, C.A., Xu, J.H., 2004. Modelling heterogeneous slurry flows at high velocities. Can. J. Chem. Eng. 82, 1060–1065.
- Gore, R.A., Crowe, C.T., 1989. Effect of particle size on modulating turbulent intensity. Int. J. Multiphas. Flow 15, 279–285.
- Gore, R.A., Crowe, C.T., 1991. Modulation of turbulence by a dispersed phase. J. Fluid. Eng.-T. ASME 113, 304–307.
- Graf, W.H., 1984. Hydraulics of Sediment Transport. Water Resources Publications, New York.
- Hinze, J.O., 1959. Turbulence: An Introduction to Its Mechanism and Theory. Mc-Graw-Hill, New York.
- Hunter, T.N., Peakall, J., Unsworth, T.J., Acun, M.H., Keevil, G., Rice, H., Biggs, S., 2013. The influence of system scale on impinging jet sediment erosion: Observed using novel and standard measurement techniques. Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 91, 722–734.
- Ismail, H.M., 1952. Turbulent transfer mechanism and suspended sediment in closed channels. Trans. Am. Soc. Civ. Eng. 117, 409–434.
- Julien, P.Y., Klaassen, G.J., 1995. Sand-dune geometry of large rivers during floods. J. Hydraul. Eng. ASCE 121, 657–663.
- Kennedy, J.F., 1963. The mechanics of dunes and antidunes in erodible-bed channels. J. Fluid Mech. 16, 521–544.
- Kidanemariam, A.G., Uhlmann, M., 2014. Interface-resolved direct numerical simulation of the erosion of a sediment bed sheared by laminar channel flow. Int. J. Multiphas. Flow 67, 174–188.
- Kleinhans, M.G., Leuven, J.R.F.W., Braat, L., Baar, A., 2017. Scour holes and ripples occur below the hydraulic smooth to rough transition of movable beds. Sedimentology doi:10.1111/sed.12358.
- Krumbein, W.C., 1941. Measurement and geological significance of shape and roundness of sedimentary particles. J. Sediment. Res. 11, 64–72.
- Kuru, W.C., Leighton, D.T., McCready, M.J., 1995. Formation of waves on a horizontal erodible bed of particles. Int. J. Multiphas. Flow 21, 1123–1140.
- Lange, R.L., Wichern, M., 2013. Sedimentation dynamics in combined sewer systems. Water Sci. Technol. 68, 756–762.
- Leeder, M., 2011. Sedimentology and Sedimentary Basins: From Turbulence to Tectonics. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford.

- Malarkey, J., Baas, J.H., Hope, J.A., Aspden, R.J., Parsons, D.R., Peakall, J., Paterson, D.M., Schindler, R.J., Ye, L.P., Lichtman, I.D., Bass, S.J., Davies, A.G., Manning, A.J., Thorne, P.D., 2015. The pervasive role of biological cohesion in bedform development. Nat. Commun. 6, 6257 (6 p.).
- Matoušek, V., 2005. Research developments in pipeline transport of settling slurries. Powder Technol 156, 43–51.
- McLean, S.R., Nelson, J.M., Wolfe, S.R., 1994. Turbulence structure over two-dimensional bed forms: implications for sediment transport. J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans 99, 12729–12747.
- Nakagawa, H., Tsujimoto, T., 1984. Spectral analysis of sand bed instability. J. Hydraul. Eng. ASCE 110, 467–483.
- Ouriemi, M., Aussillous, P., Guazzelli, E., 2009. Sediment dynamics. Part 2. Dune formation in pipe flow. J. Fluid Mech. 636, 321–336.
- Ouriemi, M., Aussillous, P., Medale, M., Peysson, Y., Guazzelli, E., 2007. Determination of the critical Shields number for particle erosion in laminar flow. Phys. Fluids 19, 061706 (4 p.).
- Ouriemi, M., Chauchat, J., Aussillous, P., Medale, M., Guazzelli, E., 2010. Sediment transport and dunes in pipe flow. "ICMF 2010", 7th International Conference on Multiphase Flow.
- Peakall, J., Ashworth, P., Best, J., 1996. Physical modelling in fluvial geomorphology: principles, applications and unresolved issues. In: Rhoads, B., Thorn, C. (Eds.), The Scientific Nature of Geomorphology. Wiley, Chichester, UK.
- Peysson, Y., Ouriemi, M., Medale, M., Aussillous, P., Guazzelli, E., 2009. Threshold for sediment erosion in pipe flow. Int. J. Multiphas. Flow 35, 597–600.
- Powers, M.C., 1953. A new roundness scale for sedimentary particles. J. Sediment. Res. 23, 117–119.
- Raudkivi, A.J., 1963. Study of sediment ripple formation. J. Hydr. Div. ASCE 89, 15–33.
- Raudkivi, A.J., 1976. Loose Boundary Hydraulics. Pergamon Press, Rotterdam.
- Raudkivi, A.J., 1997. Ripples on stream bed. J. Hydraul. Eng. ASCE 123, 58-64
- Rice, H.P., Fairweather, M., Peakall, J., Hunter, T.N., Mahmoud, B., Biggs, S.R., 2015a. Constraints on the functional form of the critical deposition velocity in solid-liquid pipe flow at low solid volume fractions. Chem. Eng. Sci. 126, 759–770.
- Rice, H.P., Fairweather, M., Peakall, J., Hunter, T.N., Mahmoud, B., Biggs, S.R., 2015b. Measurement of particle concentration in horizontal, multiphase pipe flow using acoustic methods: limiting concentration and the effect of attenuation. Chem. Eng. Sci. 126, 745–758.
- Richards, K.J., 1980. The formation of ripples and dunes on an erodible bed. J. Fluid Mech. 99, 597–618.
- Simkhis, M., Barnea, D., Taitel, Y., 1999. Dunes in solid-liquid flow in pipes. Hydrotransport 14 Maastricht: BHR Group.
- Simons, D.B., Richardson, E.V., 1961. Forms of bed roughness in alluvial channels. J. Hydr. Div. ASCE 87, 87–105.
- Skipworth, P.J., Tait, S.J., Saul, A.J., 1999. Erosion of sediment beds in sewers: Model development. J. Environ. Eng. ASCE 125, 566–573.
- Soulsby, R., 1997. Dynamics of Marine Sands: A Manual For Practical Applications. Thomas Telford, London.
- Sumer, B.M., Bakioglu, M., 1984. On the formation of ripples on an erodible bed. J. Fluid Mech. 144, 177–190.
- Syvitski, J.P.M.E., 2007. Principles, Methods and Application of Particle Size Analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Takahashi, H., Masuyama, T., Noda, K., 1989. Unstable flow of a solid-liquid mixture in a horizontal pipe. Int. J. Multiphas. Flow 15, 831–841.
- Thomas, D.G., 1964. Periodic phenomena observed with spherical particles in horizontal pipes. Science 144, 534–536.
- van Rijn, L.C., 1984a. Sediment transport, part I: bed load transport. J. Hydraul. Eng. ASCE 110, 1431–1456.
- van Rijn, L.C., 1984b. Sediment transport, part II: suspended load transport. J. Hydraul. Eng. ASCE 110, 1613–1641.
- van Rijn, L.C., 1984c. Sediment transport, part III: bed forms and alluvial roughness. J. Hydraul. Eng. ASCE 110, 1733–1754.
- van Rijn, L.C., 1989. Handbook Sediment Transport by Currents and Waves. Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- Wadell, H., 1935. Volume, shape, and roundness of quartz particles. J. Geol. 43, 250–280.
- Wilson, K.C., 1965. Derivation of the Regime Equations from Relationships for Pressurized Flow by Use of the Principle of Minimum Energy - Degradation Rate.
- Ontario: Queen's University, Kingston Civil Engineering Research Report No. 51. Yalin, M.S., 1972. Mechanics of Sediment Transport. Pergamon Press, Oxford.